IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.6924 OF 2007

Shri.Vijay Vishnupant Shinde. ...Petitioner

vs.

1.Pune University & another. ...Respondents.

Mr.A.V.Anturkar with S.B.Deshmukh, for petitioner.

Mrs.M.G.Kulkarni, for Respondent no.1.

CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH &

V.M.KANADE, JJ.

DATED: 29th NOVEMBER,2007.

P.C.:-

1. Heard the learned Counsel appearing for both the sides. We find that the authority was totally justified in declining to make the order for supply of copies of the affidavits, visas and passports of the students, principally because the application was made seeking these documents in relation to students generally, without naming

specific students in relation to whom the information and documents are required and the reason why it is required. It appears that the petitioner wanted to make roving enquiry. This order does not prevent the petitioner from seeking information and documents in relation to specifically named candidates giving reasons why supply of such an information and documents to the petitioner is necessary. This does not prevent the University from considering such an application if made.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the University states that in case the petitioner makes an application for supply of information and documents in relation to named candidates and also discloses the reason why he seeks disclosure of such information, such an application will be consider by the University in accordance with law and the provisions of Right to information Act, without being influenced by what is stated in the order impugned in the petition. He further states that in case it is found that the petitioner is

entitled to the information or the documents, and if the information and the documents are available with the University, it will be supplied to the petitioner. In our opinion, in view of this clear statement made, no order is necessary in the petition. The petition is disposed of.

(D.K.DESHMUKH, J.)

(V.M.KANADE,J.)